

## **CASE STUDY: IDENTIFYING INTERESTS**

The Cameron family has begun to receive services through CSA. The oldest Cameron child, a 12 year old boy, has special learning needs, a mental health diagnosis and recently assaulted a teacher, resulting in a legal charge. The FAPT has recommended services and these have been approved by the CPMT.

Mr. Cameron lives in the neighborhood with someone on the Board of Supervisors. They play golf together. This Board member has been in contact with the Chair of CPMT about the case and has asked to be kept informed. The Chair of the CPMT is in the height of his career and looking to be promoted into one of the County Administrator roles when the person in that role now retires. The Chair is difficult to work with because it is usually "his way or the highway". Other members of the CPMT try to avoid having conflicts with him.

The private provider of services to the Cameron family is new to this jurisdiction. The company has services elsewhere in the state and has just expanded here. You have heard from the CEO that her hope is to be a state-wide provider within the next two years. The company has doubled in size over the past 18 months.

The Court Services Unit worker is pregnant. She will be going out on maternity leave in 6 months and is trying to close out as many cases as possible prior to leaving. She finds this family easy to work with and seems to believe that the teacher who was assaulted probably did not handle the situation very well, contributing to the out of control escalation that happened with the Cameron child. The judge has said that he wants CSU involved in this case as long as services are being delivered.

The case is coming to review at FAPT.

What are the interests of the key players involved and how might those interests drive what each says or recommends?

PARTY	INTERESTS	POSSIBLE DISPLAY OF INTERESTS
Cameron family		
CSU staff person		
Private provider staff person		
CPMT Chair		